



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/936,708	09/24/1997	JEFFREY M. CLAAR	080398.P109	1031		
8791	7590 05/09/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			GRIER, L	GRIER, LAURA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2644			

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	08/936,708	
	Examiner	
	i <u>.</u> .	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
08/936,708	CLAAR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Laura A. Grier	2644

After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura A. Grier	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
The reply filed <u>04 April 2005</u> is acknowledged.						
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered bec 		sion by the Board	of Patent			
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).						
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ly filed before the filing of an appo	eal brief.				
 The reply is not entered because it was not filed w 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate) 						
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respinctudes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 4 response to a remand by the Board of Patent of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences (37 CF	aminer's answer FR 41.50(a)(2)); c	written in r (c) a Board			
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.				
4. A Other: The amendment will not be enter because of the following: In respect to the previous Advisory Action dated 12/1/04, recited claims 23 and 30, as amended changes the scope of the invention. However, the Advisory Action should have indicated claims 25 and 30 as amended changes the scope of the invention and thus further search and consideration is required. The indication of claim 23 in the previous Avisory Action dated 12/1/04 was a typographical error. The change of claim 23 was only a change of punctuation not the text of the claim limitation. Thus, the claim 25 provided in the current amendment dated 4/4/05 still provides the amended claim language of amendment dated 11/11/04, which changes the scope of the invention, requiring further search and consideration.						
·			,			
·						
	0	11				
	Laure	Mrc),			

naura U/ 1 5/5/05